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ABSTRACT

Noncanonical microRNAs (miRNAs) and endogenous small interfering RNAs (endo-siRNAs) are distinct subclasses of small RNAs that bypass the DGCR8/DROSHA Microprocessor but still require DICER1 for their biogenesis. What role, if any, they have in mammals remains unknown. To identify potential functional properties for these subclasses, we compared the phenotypes resulting from conditional deletion of \textit{Dgcr8} versus \textit{Dicer1} in post-mitotic neurons. The loss of \textit{Dicer1} resulted in an earlier lethality, more severe structural abnormalities, and increased apoptosis relative to that from \textit{Dgcr8} loss. Deep sequencing of small RNAs from the hippocampus and cortex of the conditional knockouts and control littermates identified multiple noncanonical microRNAs that were expressed at high levels in the brain relative to other tissues, including mirtrons and H/ACA snoRNA-derived small RNAs. In contrast, we found no evidence for endo-siRNAs in the brain. Taken together, our findings provide evidence for a diverse population of highly expressed noncanonical miRNAs that together are likely to play important functional roles in post-mitotic neurons.
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INTRODUCTION

miRNAs are \textasciitilde{}22 nucleotide (nt) RNAs that simultaneously influence both the transcript stability and translation of mRNA targets (Bartel 2009). Canonical miRNAs are initially expressed as part of long primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs). The miRNAs themselves are contained within these transcripts as hairpin folds, which are recognized by the dsRNA-binding protein DGCR8 (Denli et al. 2004; Gregory et al. 2004; Han et al. 2004; Landthaler et al. 2004; Han et al. 2006). DGCR8 then directs DROSHA, the RNase III–containing catalytic subunit of the Microprocessor complex (Lee et al. 2003), to cleave at the base of the hairpin, liberating it from the pri-miRNA. The resulting precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) is then exported from the nucleus and further processed by the RNase III–containing protein DICER1 to generate the mature miRNA (Hammond 2005). The mature miRNA is transferred to the RISC prior to binding sequences in the 3’ UTR and/or open reading frames of its mRNA targets (Tay et al. 2008; Bartel 2009; Melton and Blelloch 2010). Once bound, the miRNA/RISC mediates transcript destabilization and/or translational inhibition (Baek et al. 2008; Selbach et al. 2008; Guo et al. 2011).

In addition to the canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway, there exist classes of small RNAs that are DICER1 substrates but do not require processing by DGCR8 (for review, see Babiarz and Blelloch 2009). These include endogenous small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and noncanonical miRNAs. siRNAs are generated by the successive cleavage of long, double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) by DICER1. The noncanonical miRNAs arise when pre-miRNA–like
structures are generated in the absence of microprocessor activity and are cleaved directly by DICER1. This class of miRNAs is typified by the mirtron, which arises from the splicing of short introns. Following debranching, these short introns fold into pre-miRNAs and are cleaved by DICER1 (Okamura et al. 2007; Ruby et al. 2007; Babiarz et al. 2008). Additional noncanonical miRNAs include endogenous short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs), which are intergenic. There have been two proposed mechanisms for shRNA biogenesis: (1) they are potentially directly transcribed as pre-miRNA structures (Babiarz et al. 2008), or (2) they are processed into a pre-miRNA hairpin via an undetermined nuclease (Chong et al. 2010). Finally, noncanonical miRNAs derived from small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) have been identified (Babiarz et al. 2008; Ender et al. 2008; Taft et al. 2009). Like the shRNAs, how the snoRNA-derived miRNAs are processed prior to DICER1 cleavage is unclear.

Recently, a central role for endo-siRNAs in mammalian oocytes has been shown using a combination of phenotypic analysis of Dgcr8 versus Dicer1 knockouts and deep sequencing (Tam et al. 2008; Watanabe et al. 2008; Ma et al. 2010; Suh et al. 2010). However, whether endo-siRNAs function or even exist in somatic tissues remains unknown. Furthermore, no developmental roles have been identified for noncanonical miRNAs. Because of the complex nature of neural development, we embarked on examining potential roles for endo-siRNAs and noncanonical miRNAs in the brain, specifically in post-mitotic neurons.

RESULTS

Phenotypic differences resulting from post-mitotic loss of Dgcr8 versus Dicer1 in neurons

To identify potential roles for endo-siRNAs and noncanonical miRNAs in post-mitotic neurons, we crossed conditional Dgcr8 (Rao et al. 2009) and Dicer1 (Harfe et al. 2005) mice to two distinct transgenic mouse lines with Cre expression driven from an α-calcium/calmodulin–dependent protein kinase II (CamK) promoter—the CamK-cre93 or CamK-cre159 lines (Rios et al. 2001). Both CamK-cre93 and CamK-cre159 transgenes are expressed in post-mitotic neurons in similar regions of the brain, including the hippocampus and cortex, starting at P0 for CamK-cre93 and P15 for CamK-cre159 (Rios et al. 2001). The cre lines were crossed to produce x-cre: Dgcr8 Δ/flox and x-cre:Dicer1 flox/flox mice (see Materials and Methods), referred to as dger8Δ/Δ and dicer1Δ/Δ for the remainder of the text.

Deletion of either Dgcr8 or Dicer1 in post-mitotic neurons resulted in a fully penetrant lethal phenotype (Fig. 1A). However, the dicer1Δ/Δ mice consistently showed earlier lethality than did dger8Δ/Δ mice, independent of the cre line (Fig. 1A). To better understand the underlying causes for the differences in lethality, we further characterized the neuronal phenotypes at the neuroanatomical level, focusing on the CamK-cre93 mice. We performed this analysis on day 21–24 mice because it was the time point at which the dicer1Δ/Δ conditional mice began to die (Fig. 1A). dger8Δ/Δ and dicer1Δ/Δ mice were microcephalic with similar brain weights, which were greatly reduced compared with that of controls (Supplemental Fig. 1). However, Nissl labeling showed striking anatomical abnormalities in dicer1 compared with dger8 knockout mice, including enlarged lateral ventricles ($P = 0.0009$), smaller and malformed hippocampi ($P = 0.008$), as well as a truncated corpus callosum (CC; $P = 0.027$) (Fig. 1B–E). Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated biotinylated UTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) showed an increase in the number of cells undergoing apoptosis in both mutants relative to the wild type (WT); however, there were significantly more apoptotic cells in the dicer1Δ/Δ versus dger8Δ/Δ mice ($P = 0.005$) (Fig. 2A). The apoptotic population in both mutant lines was largely restricted to the lateral entorhinal cortex at this time point (Fig. 2B). Measurements of the cortical thickness throughout the brain was also reduced relative to the WT with a stronger phenotype in the dicer1Δ/Δ mice, particularly in the rostral brain corresponding to the prefrontal cortex ($P = 0.030$ and 0.038 at bregma 0.150 and 0.000, respectively) (Fig. 2C).

Identifying Dgcr8-independent, Dicer-dependent small RNAs in the mouse brain

Given that the phenotypes we observed were stronger in the dicer1Δ/Δ mice compared with the dger8Δ/Δ mice, we sought to identify small RNAs that were selectively affected by DICER1, but not DGC8R loss. To identify DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs, we built small RNA sequencing libraries from the hippocampus and cortex of 21-d-old Dgcr8Δ/Δ, Dicer1Δ/Δ, and control mice. Libraries were sequenced using the Illumina deep sequencing platform, producing from 0.5–4 million genome-mapping reads (Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO] accession no. GSE21090) (Supplemental Table 1). The mapping of sequence reads to previously annotated premiRNA hairpins (miRBase 12.0) revealed that some but not all miRNAs changed their expression in both the mutants relative to controls (Supplemental Fig. 2). This result was expected since the cre transgene is expressed in a small fraction of cells in these regions (i.e., post-mitotic neurons), while we are isolating small RNAs from the entire tissue. We previously noted a pattern for DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs in embryonic stem (ES) cells, where these small RNAs were enriched in dger8Δ/Δ and depleted in dicer1Δ/Δ (Babiarz et al. 2008). Therefore, we reasoned this pattern would allow us to identify noncanonical miRNAs expressed in the post-mitotic neurons. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated
previously identified noncanonical miRNAs (Tables 1, 2; Babiarz et al. 2008). Four of seven of these known noncanonical miRNAs showed the expected pattern in both the hippocampus and cortex, suggesting that this approach should identify >50% of the DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs in the brain. To confirm our results, two noncanonical miRNAs (miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p) were examined for their DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent nature by quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR). Both mature miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p were increased in dgcr8 Δ/Δ and decreased in dicer1 Δ/Δ, while the canonical neuron-specific miR-124 was decreased in both knockouts (Fig. 3A–C), in keeping with the expected pattern for DGCR8 independence and DICER1 dependence. Additionally, the pri-miRNA transcripts of both of these noncanonical miRNAs were unchanged in the dgcr8 Δ/Δ, supporting the hypotheses that these RNAs are not processed by DGCR8. In contrast, the pri-miRNA transcript for canonical miR-124 accumulated in the dgcr8 Δ/Δ (Fig. 3A–C). Given that these data from individual canonical and noncanonical miRNAs increased the confidence in our methodology, the analysis was expanded to the entire genome.

One hundred–base pair windows were slid across the entire genome at 10-bp intervals, and the number of reads mapping within each window were counted. These windows were then normalized to the total number of unique mapping genome reads, as previously described (Marson et al. 2008). Only windows with at least five reads per million in the Dgcr8 +/+ control were considered. When overlapping windows were collapsed, there were 1605 windows in the hippocampus and 1401 windows in the cortex (Supplemental Table 2). For each window, a ratio of the number of reads found in the conditional knockouts relative to controls was calculated. In both the hippocampus and the cortex, the majority of windows had ratios clustered around 1 as expected (Fig. 3D,E). However, a small but significant number of reads diverged from the larger cluster. Based on the read ratio of the known DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs and known canonical miRNAs, criteria were developed to identify potential novel DGCR8-independent loci (Fig. 3, light gray points; Supplemental Table 2; see also Materials and Methods). While these criteria capture 4/7 (57%) of known DGCR8-independent,
DICER1-dependent loci (Fig. 3D,E, dark gray points; Tables 1, 2), 10.4% of the windows overlapped with known canonical miRNAs, suggesting a small but significant false-positive rate. Therefore, each locus was examined carefully based on known mechanisms of DGCR8 independence as described below. Additionally, it should be noted that the conservative criteria and false-negative rate with known noncanonical miRNAs suggest the loci found with this analysis are likely an underestimate of the total noncanonical miRNAs in the mammalian brain.

Taking a similar approach, we established equivalent cutoffs for the cortex. However, 35.9% of those reads were from previously annotated miRNAs. Therefore, it was more difficult to identify novel loci in the cortex, likely due to the greater heterogeneity of the conditional deletion even within post-mitotic neurons (Rios et al. 2001). Because of the lack of sensitivity and specificity in the cortex, we largely used the cortex data to confirm and expand on DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent loci identified in the hippocampus.

Endogenous shRNAs are expressed at low levels in the brain

Our previous studies have determined that the dominant class of DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs in ES cells are endogenous shRNAs, consisting largely of miR-320 and miR-484 (Babiarz et al. 2008). In addition to being enriched in dgcr8Δ/Δ, these miRNAs were intergenic and folded into hairpins, and the reads were predominantly localized to the 3’ end of the hairpin. Examination of miR-320 and miR-484 in the hippocampus and cortex revealed that miR-320 met the DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent criteria. However, miR-484 did not meet these strict criteria (Tables 1, 2). We sought to identify additional shRNA loci expressed in the brain. One locus that met these criteria was present in an intergenic region on chromosome 7 (Supplemental Fig. 3); however, it was only expressed at 131 reads per million in the hippocampus. Surprisingly, all the shRNAs were present at low levels, making up only a small percentage of the total noncanonical miRNA complement in the post-mitotic neurons. Therefore, relative to the other noncanonical miRNAs, the shRNAs are less likely to have a critical role in neurons, although knockout studies will be required to confirm these findings.

Identification of novel mirtrons in the mouse brain

Mirtrons are a subclass of miRNAs that are DGCR8-independent and DICER1-dependent and derive from the folding of spliced and debranched short introns into premiRNA structures. The small RNA reads derive from two extreme ends of the introns (i.e., at the exon/intron boundaries). To identify mirtrons within our hippocampal data set, we analyzed the enzymatic dependencies as
TABLE 1. Hippocampal expression of DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent loci previously identified in ES cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Dgcr8+/Δ</th>
<th>Dicer1+/Δ</th>
<th>dgcΔ/Δ</th>
<th>dicerΔ/Δ</th>
<th>dgcΔ/Δ</th>
<th>dicerΔ/Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-877</td>
<td>mirtron</td>
<td>244.40</td>
<td>133.40</td>
<td>425.86</td>
<td>60.53</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1981</td>
<td>mirtron</td>
<td>653.78</td>
<td>375.60</td>
<td>1262.06</td>
<td>278.81</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-702</td>
<td>mirtron</td>
<td>47.14</td>
<td>38.24</td>
<td>43.77</td>
<td>27.51</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-320</td>
<td>shRNA</td>
<td>513.90</td>
<td>453.08</td>
<td>803.86</td>
<td>379.69</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-484</td>
<td>shRNA</td>
<td>482.65</td>
<td>416.73</td>
<td>387.65</td>
<td>286.15</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1839-5p</td>
<td>snoRNA</td>
<td>2179.10</td>
<td>1686.34</td>
<td>4607.33</td>
<td>1296.83</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-IRNA-Ile</td>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>427.31</td>
<td>280.91</td>
<td>505.29</td>
<td>132.07</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Cortical expression of DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent loci previously identified in ES cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Dgcr8+/Δ</th>
<th>dgcΔ/Δ</th>
<th>Dicer1Δ/Δ</th>
<th>dgcΔ/Δ</th>
<th>dicerΔ/Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-877</td>
<td>mirtron</td>
<td>156.43</td>
<td>227.16</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1981</td>
<td>mirtron</td>
<td>414.13</td>
<td>670.42</td>
<td>93.67</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-702</td>
<td>mirtron</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-320</td>
<td>shRNA</td>
<td>312.22</td>
<td>399.84</td>
<td>119.55</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-484</td>
<td>shRNA</td>
<td>300.86</td>
<td>201.71</td>
<td>95.53</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1839-5p</td>
<td>snoRNA</td>
<td>1380.33</td>
<td>2447.03</td>
<td>435.69</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-IRNA-Ile</td>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>270.68</td>
<td>268.37</td>
<td>44.37</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, snoRNAs are not well annotated in the mouse genome, so a previously published strategy was employed to analyze snoRNAs on a genome-wide scale (Taft et al. 2009), taking advantage of the high degree of conservation of snoRNAs and the well-curated human snoRNA database. By use of this approach, 149 snoRNA loci contained at least five reads per million in the control hippocampus (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Table 5). Nineteen of the 149 met the DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent criteria (Fig. 5A). Unexpectedly, 74% (14 of the 19) of the snoRNAs meeting these criteria were of the C/D box family. As an alternative approach, we utilized the snoRNA annotations of the mm9 mouse genome assembly generated using the snoseeker tool (Yang et al. 2006, 2010). From the snoseeker-derived snoRNA loci, 147 loci were identified with at least five reads per million, and 24 of the 147 were DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent (Supplemental Table 6). Previously described mammalian snoRNA-derived small RNAs were exclusively from H/ACA box family snoRNAs (Babiarz et al. 2008; Ender et al. 2008; Taft et al. 2009). However, a recently published study has suggested that C/D box-derived small RNAs can be incorporated into RISC in human CD4+ T cells and silence mRNAs (Brameier et al. 2010).

Analysis of the DGCR8 dependence and DICER1 dependence of the snoRNAs was also examined in published data sets from other mouse tissues. In both mES cells (Babiarz et al. 2008) and CD4+ T cells (Chong et al. 2010), only the previously described H/ACA-derived small RNA, miR-1839-5p, was identified as DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent (Supplemental Table 6). Furthermore, analysis of neural Ago IP deep sequencing data (Chi et al. 2009) showed loading of the expected 21-nt RNA species from H/ACA-box snoRNA miR-1839-5p (Supplemental Fig. 5). In contrast, a 28-nt species representing the opposite end of the hairpin from the expected small RNA of the C/D-box snoRNA was enriched in the Ago pull-down. Therefore, the exact mechanism of the biogenesis
and function for the C/D box–derived small RNAs remains unclear, and further studies will be required to identify the precise nature of these small RNAs in the brain.

A majority of the DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNA reads in the hippocampus and cortex were derived from snoRNAs, 78.7% and 52.9%, respectively (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, a number of the individual snoRNA-derived small RNAs were present at high levels (Fig. 5C). For example, miR-1839-5p (derived from a H/ACA box snoRNA) produced over 2000 reads per million in the control hippocampus. This level of expression placed miR-1839-5p into the top 100 most highly expressed miRNAs in the hippocampus, suggesting it is likely to play an important functional role in this tissue. To identify if the high level of expression of miR-1839-5p is conserved in humans, we examined a recently published human prefrontal cortex deep sequencing data set (Somel et al. 2010). Indeed, miR-1839-5p expression was in the top 50 miRNAs in all 12 individuals, ranking between the 22nd and 38th most highly expressed (Fig. 5D; Supplemental Table 7).

**Novel mirtrons and snoRNA-derived small RNAs share seed sequence homology and conserved predicted targets with highly conserved canonical miRNAs**

To gain insight into the broad functional roles of mirtrons and snoRNA-derived small RNAs in the mouse brain, we sought to identify their predicted targets. To this end, we used Targetscan (Friedman et al. 2009) to generate predicted targets with a user-specified seed sequence. By using this approach, we generated lists of conserved predicted targets for miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p, which are the most highly expressed noncanonical miRNAs in the mouse brain. The miR-1981 mature sequence is uniquely annotated in mouse, and the miR-1839-5p sequence is uniquely annotated in only five mammalian species, although the parent snoRNA, ACA45, is highly conserved among mammals (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2008). Despite the lack of conservation of these small RNAs themselves, both miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p have a large number of predicted...
targets with highly conserved target sites in their 3' UTRs. The diversity and high degree of conservation in the target sites suggest that the target sites may have evolved prior to the evolution of these particular small RNAs. We reasoned that this could occur if these target sites are also targeted by other small RNAs with highly similar seed sequences and that these evolutionarily newer small RNAs evolved to co-opt these pre-existing target sites.

To test this hypothesis, we computationally measured the similarity of the seed matches of miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p to the seed matches of 152 miRNA families. We simultaneously measured the overlap in the predicted targets of miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p to the predicted targets of each set of miRNA families. The results of these analyses show a trend whereby miRNAs that have a higher degree of seed sequence share a higher number of predicted targets. Of note, miR-1981 shares 71% seed identity to miR-124, and the two miRNAs share 52 predicted targets with a \(-\log_{10} P\)-value of 20. miR-1839-5p shares 86% seed identity to the let-7/miR-98 family, and they share 139 predicted targets with a \(-\log_{10} P\)-value of 256 (Fig. 6A,C).

In the case of let-7 and miR-1839-5p, the seed sequences are shifted by a single base; as a result, these two small RNAs share not only an overlapping pool of mRNA targets but also target sites within these mRNAs (Fig. 6B). In the case of miR-124 and miR-1981, the seed sequences are shifted by two bases (Fig. 6D). These results suggest a mechanism whereby the evolution and function of new mirtrons and snoRNA-derived small RNAs are supported by a pre-existing repertoire of mRNAs with conserved target sites. In this way newly evolved small RNAs like miR-1981 and mir-1839-5p can immediately have a significant molecular impact on a large set of pre-existing targets and the corresponding molecular pathways within which they function.

**Novel mirtrons and snoRNA-derived small RNAs are predicted to target cellular pathways important for neuronal survival and function**

To follow up on the predicted miRNA targets for the two most highly expressed noncanonical miRNAs, miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p, we sought to identify pathways potentially...
Noncanonical miRNAs in mammalian neurons

FIGURE 6. miR-1839-5p and miR-1981 share extensive seed sequence and predicted targets with conserved canonical miRNA families. (A) The percentage of seed identity (fraction of nucleotides overlapping in seed sequence after ClustalW alignment) of miR-1839-5p compared with each of 152 conserved miRNA families and the $-\log_{10}$ P-value for the overlap in predicted targets of miR-1839-5p and each of the conserved miRNA families are plotted. Names and arrows are displayed for highly significant miRNA families. (B) The alignment of the seed of miR-1839-5p with the seeds of highly significant miRNA families from A. (C) Same analysis as in A for miR-1981. (D) Same analysis as in B for miR-1981. P-values in A and C are calculated by Fisher’s exact test.

Regenerated by these miRNAs. We reasoned such an analysis might shed insight into the molecular basis of the observed differences between dicer1∆/∆ and dgcr8∆/∆ knockout brains with respect to cell survival and gross morphology. To this end, we performed an unbiased pathway analysis of the predicted targets of miR-1981 and miR-1839-5p using MSigDB (Subramanian et al. 2005). This analysis predicts that miR-1981 modulates PI3-kinase receptor signaling by targeting PIK3R1, EGFR, PTPN11, and SOS1 (Supplemental Table 8). Likewise, the program predicts that miR-1839-5p targets the IGF1 pathway (with the predicted targets in IGF1 and IGFRI) as well as amine ligand binding receptors (with the predicted targets ADRB1, ADRB2, ADRB3, and HTR1D) (Supplemental Table 8). These pathways are known to be critical regulators of nervous system function, particularly in cell survival and proliferation (Rodgers and Theibert 2002; Ye and D’Ercole 2006), suggesting that the downstream effects of miR-1839-5p and miR-1981 on their mRNA targets could contribute to the phenotypic differences between Dicer1 and Dgcr8 conditional knockout brains.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide evidence for potential functional roles of noncanonical miRNAs in adult mouse brain. Specifically, we show that the loss of DICER1 in post-mitotic neurons confers a much more severe phenotype than seen with the equivalent loss of DGCR8. Furthermore, we uncovered a large number of novel noncanonical miRNAs by deep sequencing, including six novel mirtrons and 23 potential snorn-derivived small RNAs that are expressed at relatively high levels in post-mitotic neurons.

A primary goal in the current study was to identify DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs within the post-mitotic neurons of the adult mouse. We were motivated to search for such small RNAs because of the differences in phenotypes following post-natal neuron-specific deletion of DGCR8 versus DICER1. In particular, the Dicer1∆/∆ mice died earlier and their brains showed an increase in apoptotic cells together with cortical thinning, enlarged ventricles, and smaller hippocampi, suggesting potential roles for DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs in cell survival and/or proliferation. Some of these DICER1-dependent phenotypes are reminiscent of those seen in human neurological disorders. For example, in the dicer1∆/∆ brain, the rostral CC developed normally, while there is a near complete loss of the caudal CC. A similar agenesis of the caudal CC, along with smaller hippocampi, has been shown in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease (Gonzalez-Lima et al. 2001), and structural abnormalities, including cortical thinning along with enlarged ventricles, has been reported in the brains of schizophrenic and autistic patients (Shenton et al. 2001; Brambilla et al. 2003). Therefore, it is intriguing to consider roles for noncanonical miRNAs underlying these structural abnormalities in human psychiatric diseases.

One of the challenges of this study was determining the enzymatic dependencies of small RNA-generating loci in a complex tissue. The CamK-cre transgenes are active only in post-mitotic neurons of the hippocampus or cortex. We typically observed a 10%–20% decrease in canonical miRNAs in the conditional knockouts (Supplemental Fig. 2). However, the most abundant miRNAs, such as members of the let-7 family, were largely unchanged in both dgcr8∆/∆ and dicer1∆/∆ (Supplemental Table 2). This lack of change is likely due to the broader expression of let-7 in the different cell types of the hippocampus and cortex, especially the
glia, which make up the majority of cells in the brain. Other miRNAs like miR-24, miR-127, and miR-137 (Supplemental Table 3) were dramatically reduced in both dger8Δ/Δ and dicer1Δ/Δ, which could be explained by higher levels of expression of these miRNAs, specifically in the cre-expressing cells. Indeed, our analysis demonstrates that it is possible to determine expression of cell type-specific miRNAs within a complex tissue by comparing the expression patterns of miRNAs between normal tissue and tissue deleted for Dger8 or Dicer1 in specific subsets of cells within the tissue.

Previous analysis of DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs in ES cells revealed the presence of mirtrons, shRNAs, endogenous siRNAs, and a single snoRNA-derived small RNA (Babiarz et al. 2008). In ES cells, the most highly expressed DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs were derived from endogenous shRNAs (miR-320 and miR-484) and endogenous siRNAs (two loci containing tandem, inverted SINEs), while mirtrons and snoRNA-derived small RNAs were expressed at very low levels. In contrast, small RNAs derived from mirtrons and snoRNAs appear to be expressed at high levels in the adult brain and, therefore, may underlie the significant differences in phenotype. DGCR8-independent, DICER-dependent phenotypes have also been recently ascribed to the retina, where loss of Dicer, but not Dger8, Drosha, or Ago2, resulted in macular degeneration (Kaneko et al. 2011). Interestingly, the retinal phenotype was associated with an increase in B1/Alu SINE RNAs, and B1/Alu SINE-derived endo-siRNAs have been described in mouse ES cells (Babiarz et al. 2008).

While several of the mirtrons we identified appear to be expressed at high enough levels to be functionally relevant in the brain, the DGCR8-independent small RNAs that predominated were derived from snoRNAs. This finding differs from ES cells, where only a small number of small RNAs were from snoRNAs (Babiarz et al. 2008; Taft et al. 2009). Furthermore, the ES cell small RNAs were derived from H/ACA box snoRNAs (miR-1839-5p), although at low levels. Another group, using HEK 293 cells (Ender et al. 2008), showed the H/ACA box–derived small RNAs can indeed function to suppress a target. The work presented here indicates that the H/ACA-derived small RNAs are highly expressed in the murine hippocampus and cortex. Additionally, our analysis of published human prefrontal cortex small RNA sequencing (Somel et al. 2010) shows these miRNAs are highly expressed in the human brain. Finally, putative target miRNA identification suggests that these miRNAs play important roles in neural development. In addition to the highly expressed H/ACA-derived miR-1839-5p, we identified a number of small RNAs derived from C/D box snoRNAs. C/D box–derived small RNAs have also been recently uncovered in immortalized human cell lines, where they displayed a miRNA-like function as assayed by luciferase reporters (Brameier et al. 2010).

However, their biogenesis is currently unclear. For instance, in the mouse hippocampus and cortex, the secondary structure prediction algorithms do not predict a pre-miRNA–like structure and several of the identified small RNAs are 28 nt in length, rather than the expected 21 nt of a DICER1 cleavage.

Our data in the context of previous analyses of small RNA composition in diverse tissues suggest that the classes and expression levels of DGCR8-independent, DICER-dependent small RNAs vary widely across cell types. In the three cases where dicer1Δ/Δ cells had a more severe phenotype than dger8Δ/Δ (ES cells [Wang et al. 2007], oocytes [Suh et al. 2010; and this study]), there has been an abundant, but different, cohort of small RNAs that may be responsible for the phenotypic differences. In contrast, in the embryonic skin, where dger8Δ/Δ and dicer1Δ/Δ have identical phenotypes, few DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs were identified, and those that were identified were present in low levels (Yi et al. 2009). It remains an open question whether other tissues may produce an entirely different cohort of DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent small RNAs. An especially interesting question is whether endogenous siRNAs are found in any somatic tissues or are limited to the oocytes and early embryos where there is no interferon-based response to long dsRNAs (Wianny and Zernicka-Goetz 2000; Yang et al. 2001).

Our finding that DGCR8-independent small RNAs share seed sequences and targets with more highly conserved miRNA families suggests a mechanism for the rapid evolution of noncanonical small RNAs, likely with important functional roles. The co-evolution of a small RNA and a large repertoire of targets de novo would require more evolutionary time than the evolution of just the small RNA itself. Our data suggest that newly evolved small RNAs can co-opt mRNA targets and target-sites with shared seeds that have already been evolved to be effective in miRNA-mediated suppression. Small RNAs that evolve in such a way would be predicted to not only share mRNA targets but also function with the miRNA families with which they share seed sequence. In particular, our findings suggest that the mirtron miR-1981 and the snoRNA-derived small RNA miR-1839-5p share targets and function with the miR-124 and let-7 families of miRNAs, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All animal experiments described in this article have been approved by UCSF's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. CamK-cre93 and 159 transgenic mouse lines were bred to Dger8+/Δ mice, and the subsequent offspring, which were CamK-cre, Dger8+/Δ were bred to Dger8 flox/flox mice to generate conditional CamK-cre, Dger8 flox/Δ mice. Dicer1 conditional mice were generated similarly by crossing CamK-cre to Dicer1
floxflox to generate CamK-cre Dicer1+/floxflox. These mice were mated with Dicer1 floxflox to generate conditional CamK-cre Dicer1 floxflox/floxflox mice. Mice were aged until death or sacrificed between 21 and 25 d of age for molecular analysis.

**Neuroanatomical analysis**

dgcr8Δ/Δ and dicer1Δ/Δ animals were sacrificed at post-natal day 22–24, and brains were fixed in 4% PFA, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS, and embedded in Shandon cryochrome compound (Thermo no. 9990426). Serial cryosections of 25 μm were collected and used for both Nissl staining and the TUNEL assay. For Nissl staining, coronal serial sections were stained in a galloycine-chrome alum solution (0.15% galloycine, 5% chrome alum in water) for 2 h, dehydrated, and mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific). The TUNEL assay was performed on serial sections using the FragEL DNA Detection Kit (Calbiochem) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and slides were mounted using Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Images were collected on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope, and all anatomical measurements were performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

Ventricle and hippocampal areas were measured by tracing the structural boundaries and calculating the area based on the number of pixels. The dorsal-ventral cross sectional thickness of the CC was measured at the midline from coronal sections, and the anterior-posterior length of the CC was calculated based on the number of serial sections spanned by the structure. Quantification of apoptotic cells was evaluated by identifying fluorescent cell bodies within a defined area and normalizing to total cell bodies stained with DAPI. Cortical thickness measurements were taken in the primary somatosensory cortex, measuring across cortical layers I–VI. Structural features and morphometric calculations were identified and based on the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas (Allen Institute for Brain Science).

**Statistical analysis**

Survival analysis was performed using survdiff function in R (http://www.r-project.org/), and P-values were calculated using the log-rank test.

**Small RNA cloning and sequencing**

The hippocampus and cortex were manually dissected from Dgcr8+/Δ, dgcr8Δ/Δ, Dicer1+/Δ, and dicer1Δ/Δ brains. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen). Small RNAs were cloned using the small RNA cloning kit v1.5 (Illumina). Briefly, the 3′ adapter was ligated with truncated Rnl2 (NEB) for 3 h at room temperature. The 5′ adapter was then added with ATP and Rnl1 (NEB) for an additional 15 h at room temperature. Following 3′ and 5′ adapter ligation, reverse transcription was performed with Super Script III (Invitrogen). Fifteen cycles of PCR were performed with Phusion Polymerase (NEB). The resulting product was purified on a 6% acrylamide TBE gel and stained with SYBR Gold (Invitrogen), and the products from ~95–110 nt, representing 15- to 30-nt small RNAs, were purified. The library was analyzed with a DNA 1000 Chip on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) for the appropriate size distribution. Libraries were quantified using Qubit (Invitrogen). Libraries were diluted to 10 nM and sequenced on a Genome Analyzer 2 with a 36 cycle kit (Illumina).

**Bioinformatic analysis of small RNAs**

Sequences were trimmed of the 3′ adapter by requiring 6 nt of perfect match to the adapter sequence. Sequences not containing a 6–nt perfect match were discarded. Sequences from 15–30 nt were mapped to the mm8 assembly of the mouse genome (Church et al. 2009) using Eland (Pipeline version 0.2.2.3). All uniquely mapping reads were considered for analysis of DGCR8 and DICER1 dependence. To determine miRNA-derived reads, trimmed sequences of 15–30 nt were mapped to the annotated pre-miRNA hairpins, miRBase 12.0 (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2008) using ELAND (Pipeline version 1.0). Small RNAs were mapped to RepBase Mouse Repeats (Jurka et al. 2005) using ELAND (Pipeline version 1.0).

Small RNA dependencies were determined by sliding 100-bp windows across the genome at 10-bp increments. Overlapping windows were collapsed by determining if the start of a window was contained within a previous window and combining the window coordinates. The number of small RNAs mapping within each window were counted for each library. Data were normalized to the total number of unique genome mapping reads, as previously described (Marson et al. 2008). Windows containing five or more reads in Dgcr8+/Δ were considered. DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent cutoffs were determined empirically to be the most inclusive of loci previously identified in ES cells (Babiarz et al. 2008) and exclude as many known canonical miRNAs as possible. Hippocampus cutoffs were dgcr8Δ/Δ ≥ 1.3, dicer1Δ/Δ/Dicer1+/Δ ≤ 0.83; 134 of the 1601 windows met these criteria and were classified as DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent. Cortical cutoffs were dgcr8Δ/Δ ≥ 1.28, dicer1Δ/Δ/Dicer1+/Δ ≤ 0.45; 61 of the 1401 windows met these criteria and were classified as DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent. For all windows and DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent for the hippocampus and cortex data sets, see Supplemental Table 2. Loci that met the DGCR8-independent, DICER1-dependent criteria were classified according to overlapping features in the UCSC genome browser (Karolchik et al. 2008). For the short intron analysis, intron coordinates were determined from the RefSeq database at the UCSC Genome Browser. For the snoRNA analysis, coordinates for the snoRNAs were extracted from the sno/miRNA track in the hg18 human assembly and converted to the mouse mm8 coordinates using the liftover tool, requiring 95% sequence identity, as previously described (Taft et al. 2009). For additional snoRNA analysis, the snoscanter mm9 snoRNA coordinates were converted to mm8 using the liftover tool.

Human brain small RNA sequences (Somel et al. 2010) were downloaded from GEO (accession no. GSE18012) and processed as described above, except the small RNAs were mapped to the hg18 human genome assembly. The number of sequences mapping overlapping with snoRNAs and miRNAs described in the sno/miRNA track were determined.

**miRNA qRT-PCR**

The hippocampus and cortex were dissected out of knockout and littermate control mice brains, and total RNA was isolated using Trizol. To evaluate miRNA expression, 250 ng of total RNA was poly(A)-tailed with poly(A) polymerase (NEB) and reverse transcribed using Superscript II (Invitrogen) with a modified oligo dT adaptor,
as described previously (Hurteau et al. 2006). qPCR was performed using primers against the adaptor and the mature miRNAs. To evaluate pri-miRNA expression, 200 ng of total RNA was used to generate cDNA using the Taqman Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Gene-specific primers were designed against the precursor sequences and spanning introns. All qPCRs were performed using Bio-Rad iQ Supermix on a CFX96 Real-Time System and a C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). The U6 snRNA and GAPDH were used as internal controls. All qPCR reactions were performed in triplicate and relative quantitations were calculated using the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl 2001).

Accession numbers

Deep sequencing data can be found at the GEO database, accession no. GSE21090.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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